

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 20-cr-20599
Hon. Matthew F. Leitman

JOHN ANGELO, *et al.*,

Defendants.

/

**ORDER CONCERNING ADMISSIBILITY OF FIRST SET OF
GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS**

On April 3, 2023, the Court held an in-person hearing to hear argument on the admissibility of certain trial exhibits proposed by the Government and identified below. The Court ruled on the admissibility of the Government's exhibits as documented in the chart below, and for the reasons explained on the record.

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| 201 | HSMM BOA acct 9815 checks to NJC Marketing | -Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C), 902(11), and 902(13) [Certification] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of David Katz or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
| 202 | Quickbooks HSMM BOA acct 9815 checks to NJC Marketing 2015_2016 | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of David Katz or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of David Katz or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 202A | Quickbooks HSMM checks to BlueHorseshoe 2013 to 2017 | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of David Katz or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of David Katz or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 203 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication - FRE 801(d)(1)(A)-(B), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of David Katz or others] | | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 211 | <i>Documents provided by Defense Counsel for Rosina Angelo A</i> | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 212 | <i>Documents provided by Defense Counsel for Rosina Angelo B</i> | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 213 | <i>Documents provided by Defense Counsel for Rosina Angelo C</i> | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| 214 | <i>Documents provided by Defense Counsel for Rosina Angelo D</i> | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 215 | <i>Documents provided by Defense Counsel for Rosina Angelo E</i> | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 216 | <i>Documents provided by Defense Counsel for Rosina Angelo F</i> | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 217 | <i>Documents provided by Defense Counsel for Rosina Angelo G</i> | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 218 | <i>Documents provided by Defense Counsel for Rosina Angelo H</i> | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 3001 | NBKC Bank Mortgage Loan File Rosina Angelo records A | -Authentication - FRE 613, 803(6)(A)-(C), 902(11), and 902(13) [Certification] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness or others] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|--|---|
| 3001A | NBKC Bank cert records | -Authentication - FRE 613, 803(6)(A)-(C), 902(11), and 902(13) [Certification] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness or others] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open the door. |
| 3002 | NBKC Bank Mortgage Loan File Rosina Angelo records B | -Authentication - FRE 613, 803(6)(A)-(C), 902(11), and 902(13) [Certification] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness or others] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 4001 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication - FRE 801(d)(1)(A)-(B), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 4002 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication - FRE 801(d)(1)(A)-(B), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 4003 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication - FRE 801(d)(1)(A)-(B), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| 4004 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication - FRE 801(d)(1)(A)-(B), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 4005 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication - FRE 801(d)(1)(A)-(B), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 4006 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication - FRE 801(d)(1)(A)-(B), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 4007 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication - FRE 801(d)(1)(A)-(B), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|--|--|--|
| 4007A | 10/13/15 audio re ThomasQuartz, Aaron Korson re startup of MAA combined with Law Offices of Thomas Quartz receiving cases from JohnCapella | <p>-Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others]</p> | <p><i>- "Recordings not provided as separate audio clips for these 3 exhibits.</i></p> <p><i>Include gossip and other irrelevant discussions.</i></p> <p><i>401, 403 and 801" (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>-TQ not present for call</i></p> | Defense counsel to propose redactions. Court will address admissibility at trial if parties are unable to agree on redactions and, thereafter, on admissibility. |
| 4007B | 10/13/15 audio re JohnAngelo, ThomasQuartz, Aaron Korson re startup of MAA combined with Law Offices of Thomas Quartz receiving cases from JohnCapella | <p>-Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others]</p> | <p><i>- "Recordings not provided as separate audio clips for these 3 exhibits.</i></p> <p><i>Include gossip and other irrelevant discussions.</i></p> <p><i>401, 403 and 801" (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>-TQ not present for call</i></p> | Defense counsel to propose redactions. Court will address admissibility at trial if parties are unable to agree on redactions and, thereafter, on admissibility. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|--|--|
| 4007C | 10/13/15 audio re JohnAngelo, ThomasQuartz, Aaron Korson re startup of MAA combined with Law Offices of Thomas Quartz receiving cases from JohnCapella | <p>-Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Aaron Korson or others]</p> | <p>-“Recordings not provided as separate audio clips for these 3 exhibits.”</p> <p><i>Include gossip and other irrelevant discussions.</i></p> <p><i>401, 403 and 801” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>-TQ not present for call</i></p> | Defense counsel to propose redactions. Court will address admissibility at trial if parties are unable to agree on redactions and, thereafter, on admissibility. |
| 4008 | 11 30 15 text JohnCapella to ThomasQuartz AaronKorson re Joe Strawther client from BrentSitto | <p>-Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i></p> <p><i>- “401, 801” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>- “Irrelevant FRE 401, 403; Hearsay FRE 801(c), 802; Incomplete FRE 106 Special Agent, Anthony Sereno lack foundation need link by link chain that Joe Strawther report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>REDACT</i></p> | Excluded |
| 4009 | 8/1/16 Market Me Now LLC , Robin Street Consultants LLC Agreement executed John Angelo Ramil Yusubov | <p>-Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Ramil Yusubov or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Ramil Yusubov or others]</p> | No objection | Admitted (No Objections) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 4009A | Market Me Now LLC JPMorgan Chase acct3520 checks payable to Robin Street ; check 3465 dated 9/1/16 for \$6,000 ; check 3474 dated 5/24/17 for \$6,000 ; check 3476 dated 12/14/17 for \$60,000o | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Ramil Yusubov or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 4010 | WCIS QuickBooks excerpt re payments to NJC Marketing 9/10/10 to 12/13/13 | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Joseph DeSanto] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Joseph DeSanto or others] | <i>Objection – relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i> | Admissible to prove modus operandi. |
| 4010A | WCIS BOA acct6613 checks to NJC Marketing 2010 to 2013 | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Joseph DeSanto or others] | <i>Objection – relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i> | Admissible to prove modus operandi. |
| 4010B | WCIS BOA acct6613 checks to Sereno Marketing 2010 to 2013 | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Joseph DeSanto or others] | <i>Objection – relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i> | Admissible to prove modus operandi. |
| 4010C | NJC Marketing Wells Fargo acct 6031 Deposit Items 2014 to 2017 | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of David Katz or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admissible to prove modus operandi. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|---|--|---|
| 4010D | 9/10/13, 10/13/13, 11/27/13 messages from RosinaAngelo to Integrated HCS re Sereno JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Joseph DeSanto] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Joseph DeSanto or others] | <i>Objection – Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i> | Excluded |
| 4010E | 10/23/13 email RosinaAngelo VincentCelentano JosephDeSanto re payments from Integrated HCS re Sereno JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(7) [Testimony of Joseph DeSanto] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Joseph DeSanto or others] | <i>Objection – Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i> | Excluded |
| 4012 | Robin Street Consultants BOA acct 3107 signature card | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>Objection – foundation through witness Varjabedian FRE 401, 402 (CM)</i> | Admissible if Government successfully lays appropriate foundation at trial. |
| 4012A | Robin Street Consultants BOA acct ending 3107 bank statements 033114 to 123117 | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>Objection – foundation through witness Varjabedian FRE 401, 402 (CM); relevance FRE 401 (JA) - “401, 403” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i> | Admissible if Government successfully lays appropriate foundation at trial. |
| 4012B | Robin Street Consultants BOA acct ending 3107 deposits/checks | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>Objection – foundation through witness Varjabedian FRE 401, 402 (CM); relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Admissible if Government successfully lays appropriate foundation at trial. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|---|--|---|
| 4012C | Robin Street Consultants BOA acct ending 3107 client checks 2014 to 2017 | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>Objection – foundation through witness Varjabedian FRE 401, 402 (CM)</i> | Admissible if Government successfully lays appropriate foundation at trial. |
| 4012D | <i>Robin Street Consultants BOA acct ending 3107 deposits re Auto Accident Attorneys</i> | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | - “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) Tracy Varjabedian lacks foundation” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email) | Admissible if Government successfully lays appropriate foundation at trial. |
| 4012E | <i>Robin Street Consultants BOA acct ending 3107 deposits re Clear Imaging</i> | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 4012F | <i>Robin Street Consultants BOA acct ending 3107 deposits re Horizon Imaging</i> | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 4012G | <i>Robin Street Consultants BOA acct ending 3107 deposits re Standard Medicals & Standard Care</i> | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|---|---|--|
| 4012H | <i>Robin Street Consultants BOA acct ending 3107 checks written excerpts</i> | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Admitted |
| 4013 | J&A Consultants Inc BOA acct 4799 signature card | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>Objection – Authentication 803(6), 902 (11) (JA)</i> | Admitted |
| 4013A | J&A Consultants BOA acct 4799 statements 022015 to 053118 | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> - “401, 403” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email) | Admissible with Redactions Discussed on Record |
| 401B | J&A Consultants Inc BOA acct 4799, deposit items | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] -Relevance - FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA)</i> | Admitted |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|--|
| 4015 | 6/9/14 email JohnCapella BrentSitto GlennFranklin re Leads Not From You : 6 Detroit DJA leads | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); authentication re certification document 803(6), 902 (11) (BS)</i></p> <p><i>“Partial objection for page 1 based on hearsay (ok with the reports from page 2 to 13 coming in – reports)” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c) 802 need link by link chain that the 6 reports came from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admissible with Redactions Discussed on Record |
| 4016 | 9/28/15 email JohnCapella GlennFranklin BrentSitto re all police reports must be obtained from LexisNexis moving forward | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); authentication re certification document 803(6), 902 (11) (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this concerns separate paid-for reports, not reports from Karen Miller FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admitted |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|----------------|
| 4017A | <p><i>3/4/14 email JohnCapella BrentSitto GlennFranklin Scott Jawetz re Disturbing Lead Trend</i></p> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this concerns separate paid-for reports, not reports from Karen Miller FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admitted |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|----------------|
| 4017B | <p><i>3/7/14 email JohnCapella BrentSitto GlennFranklin Scott Jawetz re Lead Status Update</i></p> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this concerns separate paid-for reports, not reports from Karen Miller FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admitted |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|----------------|
| 4017C | <p><i>3/10/14 email JohnCapella BrentSitto GlennFranklin Scott Jawetz re Lead Status Update: Still No New Detroit Leads</i></p> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this concerns separate paid-for reports, not reports from Karen Miller FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admitted |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|----------------|
| 4017D | <p><i>4/14/14 email JohnCapella BrentSitto GlennFranklin Scott Jawetz re Detroit Leads Back Up and Running</i></p> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this concerns separate paid-for reports, not reports from Karen Miller FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admitted |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|
| 4017D1 | <i>4/15/14 email ElviraCimic JohnCapella re StandardMedical Disability Certificates</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Elvira Cimic or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Elvira Cimic or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this has nothing to do with accessed crash reports FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|--|-------------------------------|
| 4017E | <p><i>5/17/14, 4/24/14 email</i></p> <p><i>JohnCapella</i></p> <p><i>ScottJawetz</i></p> <p><i>MariaDuPuis</i></p> <p><i>BrentSitto re Castell Messed Up and Health Systems</i></p> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before the conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this does not concern a report accessed by Karen Miller and sent down a chain to Capella FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|
| 4017 E1 | 10/13/14 email BrentSitto JohnCapella re intake | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “401” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Kenyana Allen report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|--|
| 4017 E2 | <p><i>10/15/14 email</i></p> <p><i>JohnCapella</i></p> <p><i>SilvioCozzetto</i></p> <p><i>ScottJawetz</i></p> <p><i>JohnAngelo</i></p> <p><i>BrentSitto re referral from BeWell to AutoAccidentAttorneys</i></p> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “401” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Kenyana Allen report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | <p>Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open door.</p> |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|--|
| 4017 E3 | <p><i>10/21/14 email JohnCapella BrentSitto ElviraCimic re why C_Greene declined</i></p> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “401” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Kenyana Allen report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | <p>Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open door.</p> |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| 4017 E4 | <i>10/22/14 email JohnCapella BeWell re C_Greene notice to Cozzetto</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “401” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Kenyana Allen report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open door. |
| 4017F | <i>11/28/14, 11/24/14, 11/12/14 email BrentSito JohnCapella DavidKatz DaleKatz MariaDuPuis re C_Sanders UNAPPROVED report and complaint re confirmation codes</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Cynthia Sanders report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open door. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| 4017G | <i>11/28/14 , 10/28/14 BrentSitto JohnCapella re D_Johnson UNAPPROVED report BrentSitto to JohnCapella re client no show</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Dayla Johnson report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>REDACT</i></p> | Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open door. |
| 4017H | <i>11/28/14 , 10/28/14 BrentSitto JohnCapella re C_Tatume UNAPPROVED report BS to JC re client no show</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Candace Tatume report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>REDACT</i></p> | Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open door. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| 4017I | <i>11/28/14 , 10/9/14, 10/8/14 BrentSitto JohnCapella re T_Thomas UNAPPROVED report BS to JC re client no show</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Tanyetta Thomas report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open door. |
| 4017J | <i>11/28/14 email BrentSitto JohnCapella re complaints about three no show clients and Health Systems</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Tanyetta Thomas, Dayla Johnson, and Candace Tatume reports sent from Karen Miller (redactions if not)” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded. Government may renew request for admission if Defendants open door. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| 4017K | <i>11/28/14 email BrentSitto JohnCapella ScottJawetz GlennFranklin re Bad Lead News and change to website access to police reports</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before the conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this concerns separate paid-for reports regarding the Grand Rapids area, not reports accessed by Karen Miller FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |
| 4017L | <i>11/28/14 email JohnCapella to ScottJawetz re Detroit DJA Leads</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that the reports were sent from Karen Miller ” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admissible if Government lays appropriate foundation linking leads to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| 4017M | <i>11/28/14 email JohnCapella to BrentSitto re DarylMiner UNAPPROVED REPORT</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Daryl Miner report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government lays appropriate foundation linking report to Karen Miller. |
| 4017MI | <i>12/1/14 email ScottJawetz JohnCapella re DarylMiner Conf# BLC41Y (Hazel Park)</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Daryl Miner report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| 4017N | <i>12/2/14 email BrentSitto JohnCapella re DarylMiner UNAPPROVED REPORT_request</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Daryl Miner report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 4017O | <i>12/2/14 email JohnCapella BrentSitto JohnCapella re DarylMiner UNAPPROVED REPORT_full report sent to Sitto</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Daryl Miner report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|--|----------------|
| 4017P | <i>1/24/15 email JohnCapella to JohnCapella re DarylMiner Request for Investigation re Attorney Grievance Commission</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of attachment is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Daryl Miner report sent from Karen Miller Request for Investigation to the Attorney Grievance Commission is unduly prejudicial FRE 403, especially one that did not result in a formal (public) complaint. Mich Court R. 9.126(A) provides that such investigations are confidential and many not be made public. Further, even in cases where there is an admonition or contractual probation (see Mich. Court R. 9.114), which here there was not, such circumstances “shall be confidential.” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|----------------|
| 4017P1 | <p>1/25/15, 12/15/14, 11/28/14 emails re JohnCapella BrentSitto GlennFranklin re DarylMinor Update</p> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Daryl Miner report sent from Karen Miller would need redactions re “Bar Complaint against you” for reasons stated in objection to 4017P” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|--|----------------|
| 4017P2 | <i>1/28/15, Brent Sitto, Statement in Response to Request for Investigation</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Daryl Miner report sent from Karen Miller Response to the Attorney Grievance Commission is unduly prejudicial FRE 403, especially one that did not result in a formal (public) complaint. Mich Court R. 9.126(A) provides that such investigations are confidential and many not be made public. Further, even in cases where there is an admonition or contractual probation (see Mich. Court R. 9.114), which here there was not, such circumstances “shall be confidential.”” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|--|----------------|
| 4017P3 | <i>1/17/23 email from Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission and underlying Trial Subpoena</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Daryl Miner report sent from Karen Miller Response to the Attorney Grievance Commission is unduly prejudicial FRE 403, especially one that did not result in a formal (public) complaint. Mich Court R. 9.126(A) provides that such investigations are confidential and many not be made public. Further, even in cases where there is an admonition or contractual probation (see Mich. Court R. 9.114), which here there was not, such circumstances “shall be confidential.” Trial subpoena and letter from Government counsel is not evidence” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|----------------|
| 4017P4 | <i>1/28/15 Brent Sitto, Statement in Response to Request for Investigation Cover Letter Only</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “this document is the same first two pages of 4017P2 (see objections to 4017P2), document without the referenced attachments should not be admitted FRE 106” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |
| 4017Q | <i>7/15/15 email JohnCapella to GlennFranklin re Commercial Account Access to Accident Reports</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (BS); Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this concerns separate paid-for reports, not reports from Karen Miller FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admitted |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| 4021 | 1/13/15 email JohnCapella ScottJawetz BrentSitto GlennFranklin JohnAngelo re unapproved report | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection -relevance, not part of the conspiracy FRE 401 (BS); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015, so not wire fraud, irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403 need link by link chain that Leonard Miree report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 4022 | 5/28/15 email JohnCapella JohnAngelo ScottJawetz GlennFranklin re unapproved report and referral to Cozzetto at Flint location | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection - hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Elizabeth Cosby report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
| 4023 | 4/16/15 email JohnAngelo (561-201-2115) to JohnCapella re change in Michigan solicitation laws | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <p><i>Objection - hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “401, 403, 801” (JA sent via 3/21/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>- “article is hearsay and is not attached FRE 106 article is not the law and Michigan law is irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403; Court’s Order ECF # 313 at PgID.5398-99.” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |
| 4023A | 6/8/15 email JohnCapella to JohnAngelo re DJA referral for week | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/ FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others] | <p><i>Objection - hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>-- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Barbara Williams report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>REDACT</i></p> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|--|---|
| 4023A1 | 6/8/15 email JohnCapella JohnAngelo Jawetz Glenn Franklin re DJA referral for week | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>No objection</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Barbara Williams report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>REDACT</i></p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 4023B | 4/20/15 email JohnCapella to JohnAngelo re DJA referral for week | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Cherish Walker report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>REDACT</i></p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| 4023B1 | 4/20/15 email JohnCapella JohnAngelo BrentSitto ScottJAwetz re DJA referral for week | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Cherish Walker report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 4023C | 4/27/15 email JohnCapella to JohnAngelo re DJA referral for week | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Erin Jones report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| 4023C1 | 4/27/2015 email JohnCapella JohnAngelo ScottJawetz BrentSitto re DJA referral | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Erin Jones report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 4023D | 5/6/15 email JohnCapella to JohnAngelo re DJA referral for week | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Tyree Kirkland report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| 4023D1 | 5/6/15 email JohnCapella to JohnAngelo re DJA referral for week | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Tyree Kirkland report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 4023E | 5/12/15 email JohnCapella to JohnAngelo re DJA referral for week | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Miranda King report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| 4023E1 | 5/12/15 email JohnCapella JohnAngelo GlennFranklin Jawetz re DJA referral for week | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Miranda King report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 4023E2 | 5/12/15 email JohnCapella ScottJawetz GlennFranklin BrentSitto re intake question | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Oneal Jennings report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|---|
| 4023E3 | 5/12/15 email JohnCapella ScottJawetz re intake question | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Oneal Jennings report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 4024 | 7/16/15 email JohnCapella ScottJawetz BrentSitto GlennFranklin JohnAngelo re unapproved report | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Thompson Gilbert report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|---|
| 4026 | 9/21/15 email JohnCapella ScottJawetz BrentSitto GlennFranklin JohnAngelo re unapproved report | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (JA); hearsay in text of email FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need Certification referenced FRE 803(6), 902(11) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 need link by link chain that Samantha Vanegas report sent from Karen Miller” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p>REDACT</p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation linking exhibit to reports obtained by Karen Miller. |
| 7001 | Mann Global Comerica acct7086 signature card re CM | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – foundation through witness Kearis or Yenglin FRE 401, 402 (CM)</i></p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation. |
| 7002 | Gravity Imaging JP Morgan Chase acct3377 acct2312, signature card, statements, checks - September 2015 to June 2022 | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – foundation through witness Kearis or Yenglin FRE 401, 402 (CM)</i></p> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|--|---|---|
| 7002B | Gravity Imaging JanDec 2018 General Ledger | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Testimony of Kevin Yenglin] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> <p>-Relevance FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> | <i>Objection – foundation through witness Kearis or Yenglin FRE 401, 402 (CM)</i> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation. |
| 7002C | Gravity Imaging JunDec 2018 General Ledger | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Testimony of Kevin Yenglin] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> <p>-Relevance FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> | <i>Objection – foundation through witness Kearis or Yenglin FRE 401, 402 (CM)</i> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation. |
| 7002D | Gravity Imaging JanDec 2017 General Ledger | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> <p>-Relevance FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> | <i>Objection – foundation through witness Kearis or Yenglin FRE 401, 402 (CM)</i> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation. |
| 7002E | Gravity Imaging JanDec 2016 General Ledger | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> <p>-Relevance FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> | <i>Objection – foundation through witness Kearis or Yenglin FRE 401, 402 (CM)</i> | Admissible if Government can lay proper foundation. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| 7002W1 | 4/20/15 email JaredMaynard CoryMann ReniGeorge re fee | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jared Maynard or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jared Maynard or others] | <i>Objection – relevance, FRE 401 (CM); probative substantially outweighs prejudicial FRE 403 (CM)</i> | Excluded |
| 7002W2 | 5/1/15 email CoryMann MRadom DBittner JaysonRosett MMorse MMeeron re Michigan No Fault Insurance | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] | <i>Objection – relevance, FRE 401 (CM); probative substantially outweighs prejudicial FRE 403 (CM)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 7002W3 | 5/11/15 email JaredMaynard CoryMann re new legislation proposal | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jared Maynard or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jared Maynard or others] | <i>Objection – relevance, FRE 401 (CM); probative substantially outweighs prejudicial FRE 403 (CM)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 7002X | Checks to Jared Maynard 2015 to 2019 | -Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jared Maynard or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jared Maynard or others] | <i>Objection – relevance, FRE 401 (CM); probative substantially outweighs prejudicial FRE 403 (CM)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|--|
| 7002Y | Engtechnik documents re Gravity Imaging and Shin Nippon Machinery Co LTD in 2019 | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> | <i>Objection – relevance, FRE 401 (CM); probative substantially outweighs prejudicial FRE 403 (CM)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 7002Z | Wesley Green documents re Gravity Imaging and Shin Nippon Machinery Co LTD in 2019 | <p>-Authentication - FRE 803(6), 902(11) [Certification] 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Bryan Kearis or others]</p> | <i>Objection – relevance, FRE 401 (CM); probative substantially outweighs prejudicial FRE 403 (CM)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 7003 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | <p>-Authentication - FRE 613</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness]</p> | | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 7004 | 6/30/13 email CoryMann to JohnCapella re BlueHorseshoe Consulting represents to victims they are a nonprofit | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (JA, CM, BS); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM, BS)</i> | Court to revisit admissibility at time of trial. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|
| 7005 | 6/18/13 email CoryMann to DavidKatz ScottZack JohnCapella re clients to chiropractors | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others] | <i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (JA, CM, BS); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM, BS)</i> <i>- “FRE 403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i> | Excluded |
| 7006 | 6/18/13 email CoryMann JohnCapella re dispute | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others] | <i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (JA, CM, BS); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM, BS)</i> | Excluded |
| 7007 | 6/18/13 email CoryMann JohnCapella re solicitation work | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others] | <i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (JA, CM, BS); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM, BS)</i> | Excluded |
| 7008 | 6/3/13 email CoryMann to JohnCapella re help to develop arguments against new MI solicitation laws | -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (JA, CM, BS); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM, BS)</i> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|--|--|----------------|
| 7009 | 5/30/13 email CoryMann to JohnCapella re help to develop arguments against new MI solicitation laws | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Authentication - FRE 613 -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Defense Witness] | <p><i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (JA, CM, BS); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “unknown person’s summary of potential future legislation that was forwarded is not the law and Michigan law is irrelevant and prejudicial FRE 401, 403; Court’s Order ECF #313 at PgID.5398-99.” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |
| 7010 | 4/10/13 email CoryMann DavidKatz ScottZack DaleKatz ScottJawetz re CoryMann review of solicitation data | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others] | <p><i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (JA, CM, BS); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM, BS)</i></p> | Excluded |
| 7011 | 3/20/13 email CoryMann JohnCapella fwd article on MI prescription fraud | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others] | <p><i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE 401 (JA, CM, BS); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “article not attached FRE 106” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|
| 8001 | Google subscriber information re injuryhealth@g mail.com (Daneshvar) re phone contact 248-444-2634 and recovery email advclinic@yahoo.com | -Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/ FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 401 (MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD)</i> | Admitted |
| 8002 | SMS Messages 2/14/17 @ i) 10:25:09 ii) 10:26:05, iii) 10:26:11 between 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar and 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD)</i> | Admitted in format and with redactions discussed on the record (the “Agreed Format”). |
| 8003 | [currently withdrawn from consideration] | -Authentication -FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) [Certification]/ FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |
| 8003A | SMS 1/9/17 @ 15:49:15 from 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|---|--|
| 8003B | SMS 1/9/17 @ 17:36:05 from 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo to 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |
| 8003C | SMS 1/9/17 @ 17:39:17 from 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |
| 8003C1 | call 1/10/17 @ 10:57:44 from 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo to 248-953-2475/ AnthonySereno | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 8003C2 | call 1/10/17 @ 10:06:10 from 248-910-4455/Cory Mann to 908-358-9311/John Angelo_AUDIO | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Court to revisit admissibility at time of trial. Before offering into evidence, Government shall put exhibit into the Agreed Format. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|--|---|
| 8003C2A | call 1/10/17 @ 10:06:10 from 248-910-4455/Cory Mann to 908-358-9311/John Angelo_ | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Court to revisit admissibility at time of trial. |
| 8003D | SMS 1/12/17 @ 10:42:22 from 248-444-2634/MichaelDaneshvar to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format |
| 8003D1 | call 1/13/17 @ 16:31:24 from 248-953-2475/AnthonySereno to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Parties to meet and confer about potential redactions. Court to revisit admissibility at time of trial. Before offering into evidence, Government shall put exhibit into the Agreed Format. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 8003D2 | SMS 1/16/17 between 248-910-4455/CoryMann to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – relevance FRE 410 (JA, CM); hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, CM); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 8003E | SMS 1/24/17 @ 11:13:15 from 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |
| 8003F | SMS 1/24/17 @ 11:14:26 from 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo to 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |
| 8003F1 | Call 1/26/17 @ 11:37:44 from 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo to 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |
| 8003G | SMS 1/26/17 @ 13:10:00 from 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|---|--|
| 8003H | SMS 1/26/17 @ 13:10:50 from 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo to 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Admissible in the Agreed Format. |
| 8003H1 | call 1/26/17 @ 13:10:54 from 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo to 248-953-2475/ AnthonySereno | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Court will revisit admissibility at time of trial. Government must lay proper foundation. |
| 8003I | call 1/26/17 @ 14:10:26 from 248-953-2475/ AnthonySereno to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Court will revisit admissibility at time of trial. Government must lay proper foundation. |
| 8003J | call 1/26/17 @ 14:52:13 from 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo to 248-953-2475/ AnthonySereno | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Court will revisit admissibility at time of trial. Government must lay proper foundation. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 8003K | call 1/26/17 @ 16:19:22 from 973-941-8955/ RosinaAngelo to 908-358- 9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 8003L | SMS 1/26/17 @ 17:12:17 from 248-910- 4455/CoryMann to 908-358- 9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 8003M | SMS 1/26/17 @ 17:13:11 from 908-358- 9311/JohnAngelo to 248-910- 4455/CoryMann | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 8003N | SMS 1/26/17 @ 17:13:35 from 248-910- 4455/CoryMann to 908-358- 9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Excluded |
| 8003O | SMS 1/26/17 @ 17:14:15 from 908-358- 9311/JohnAngelo to 248-910- 4455/CoryMann | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| 8004A | call 1/12/17 @ 10:47:46 from 248-953-2475/ AnthonySereno to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo AUDIO | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 8004A1 | call 1/12/17 @ 10:47:46 from 248-953-2475/ AnthonySereno to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | No Ruling (Exhibit Withdrawn) |
| 8005 | <i>JaysonRosett/ Robert Rosett use of yy1745mp_gmail_com_email address</i> | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8005A1 | <i>1/16/17 email KarenMiller to JaysonRosett RobertRosett re bundle of 19 DPD crash reports (incl. ExternalID_110 0272)</i> | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|---|--|--------------------------|
| 8005A2 | <i>1/16/17 email JaysonRosett RobertRosett to JohnAngelo re bundle of 19 DPD crash reports (incl. ExternalID_110 0272)</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others]</p> | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8005A3 | <i>1/16/17 email JaysonRosett RobertRosett to JohnAngelo re bundle of 19 DPD crash reports (incl. ExternalID_110 0272)</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others]</p> | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8005A4 | <i>1/16/17 email JaysonRosett RobertRosett to JohnAngelo re bundle of 19 DPD crash reports (incl. ExternalID_110 0272)</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others]</p> | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8005A5 | <i>1/16/17 email JohnAngelo to MichaelDaneshvar re bundle of 19 DPD crash reports (incl. ExternalID_110 0272)</i> | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|---|--|
| 8005A6 | <i>1/31/17 email M_Awaisi to JohnAngelo re R_Jeremiah MRI referral to Gravity Imaging</i> | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8005A7 | <i>1/31/17 email to JohnAngelo re R_Jeremiah MRI referral to Gravity Imaging_</i> | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8006 | call 1/26/17 @ 16:19:22 from 973-941-8955/ RosinaAngelo to 908-358-9311/ JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA)</i> | Court to revisit admissibility at time of trial. Government must lay proper foundation. |
| 8007 | call 2/10/17 @ 15:56:59 from 248-444-2634/ MichaelDaneshvar to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); foundation through witness Sereno FRE 401, 402 (MD); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Court to revisit admissibility at time of trial. Government must lay proper foundation. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 8007A | call 2/10/17 @ 12:22:35 from 248-953-2475/ AnthonySereno to 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Court to revisit admissibility at time of trial. Government must lay proper foundation. |
| 8007B | call 2/10/17 @ 16:32:47 from 908-358-9311/JohnAngelo to 248-953-2475/ AnthonySereno | -Authentication -FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), (b)(5), (b)(6) [Testimony of Special Agent, Anthony Sereno or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Anthony Sereno or others] | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA); format of exhibit (JA)</i> | Court to revisit admissibility at time of trial. Government must lay proper foundation. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|----------------|
| 8008 | email 2/25/14 patientdispatch@gmail.com (Daneshvar) to ScottJawetz JohnCapella re SCI sending \$13,400 | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD)</i></p> <p><i>- “need the Certification if there is one FRE 902(11), (13) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before the conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this would concern separate paid-for reports, not any report accessed by Karen Miller and sent down a chain to Capella FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|----------------|
| 8009 | email 3/5/14 ScottJawetz JohnCapella re Daneshvar StandardCare and BrentSitto with Standard Care PNC Bank acct8617 check no. 1021 for \$5,000 payable to Blue Horseshoe | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection - relevance re scope/timeframe FRE401 (JA, MD, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need the Certification if there is one FRE 902(11), (13) before the conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this would concern separate paid-for reports, not any report accessed by Karen Miller and sent down a chain to Capella FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|----------------|
| 8010 | email 7/30/14 patientdispatch@gmail.com (Daneshvar) JohnCapella standardsmeds@yahoo.com (Daneshvar)re "Don't Use Commendation Transportation" | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD)</i></p> <p><i>- “need the Certification if there is one FRE 902(11), (13) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before the conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this would concern separate paid-for reports, not any report accessed by Karen Miller and sent down a chain to Capella FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|--|----------------|
| 8011 | email 8/14/14 JohnCapella advclinic@yahoo.com (Daneshvar) Scott Jawetz re proposal | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD, BS); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE401 (JA, MD, BS), inflammatory language needs redaction – govt agrees to redact..(BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need the Certification if there is one FRE 902(11), (13)content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|---|----------------|
| 8012 | 2/25/15 email JohnCapella standardsmeds@ yahoo.com (Daneshvar) re business dispute | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, MD, BS); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE401 (JA, MD, BS), inflammatory language needs redaction – govt agrees to redact..(BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need the Certification if there is one FRE 902(11), (13)content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 before the conspiracy alleged to have started in July 2014 and before Miller accessing from LexisNexis went interstate on approximately 2-7-2015 FRE 401,403 irrelevant and prejudicial, confusing, misleading, waste of time as this would concern separate paid-for reports, not any report accessed by Karen Miller and sent down a chain to Capella FRE 401,403” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|--|---|---|----------------|
| 8013 | 3/18/15 email JohnCapella GlennFranklin BrentSitto DavidKatz DlaeKatz MariaDuPuis re Fat Mike Update 3-18-15 | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS); relevance re scope/timeframe FRE401 (JA, BS), inflammatory language needs redaction – govt agrees to redact..(BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need the Certification if there is one FRE 902(11), (13) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 would also need redactions (e.g. “Mafia Murder Threats” paragraph” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> <p><i>REDACT</i></p> | Excluded |
| 8014 | 4/9/15 @ 15:47:29 email JohnCapella ScottJawetz KennyMoz BrentSitto GlennFranklin JospehRuefiel DavidKatz JohnAngelo TracyVarjabedian, etc. re business dispute with Varjabedian, Standard Care and Great Lakes | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of John Capella or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 8401, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need the Certification if there is one FRE 902(11), (13) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 would also need redactions” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|---------|---|---|--|--|
| 8014A | 4/9/15 @ 16:55:43 email JohnCapella ScottJawetz KennyMoz BrentSitto GlennFranklin JospehRuefiel DavidKatz JohnAngelo TracyVarjabedian, etc. re business dispute with Varjabedian, Standard Care and Great Lakes | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others]</p> | <p><i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (JA, BS)</i></p> <p><i>- “need the Certification if there is one FRE 902(11), (13) content of email is inadmissible hearsay FRE 801(c), 802 would also need redactions” (BS sent via 3/23/23 email)</i></p> | Excluded |
| 8015 | 1/25/17 email JohnAngelo to Daneshvar re unapproved reports | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Tracy Varjabedian or others]</p> | <i>Objection – hearsay FRE 801, 802 (MD)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation. |
| 8015A | 1/25/17 email KarenMiller to airosseti@gmail.com re unapproved reports | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Karen Miller or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Karen Miller or others]</p> | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8015B | 1/25/17 email yy1745mp@gmail.com to JohnAngelo re unapproved reports | <p>-Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others]</p> <p>-Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others]</p> | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 8015C | <i>LNCS 014 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8015D | <i>LNCS 014 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8015E | <i>LNCS 031 LEMOS - 1090692 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8015F | <i>LNCS 031 LEMOS - 1090692 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 8015G | <i>LNCS 032 KHAN - 1163862 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8015H | <i>LNCS 032 KHAN - 1163862 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8015I | <i>LNCS 033 TRANSON - 1176661 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8015J | <i>LNCS 033 TRANSON - 1176661 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 8016 | 1/26/17 email JohnAngelo to Daneshvar re unapproved reports | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] | <i>Objection -hearsay FRE 801, 802 (MD)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016A | 1/26/17 email KarenMiller to airossetti@gmail.com re unapproved reports | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Karen Miller or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Karen Miller or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8016B | 1/26/17 email yy1745mp@gmail.com to JohnAngelo re unapproved reports | -Authentication - FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of Jayson Rosett or others] | <i>No objection</i> | Admitted (No Objections) |
| 8016C | <i>LNCS 012 DPD Report Views Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| 8016D | <i>LNCS 012 DPD Report Views PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016E | <i>LNCS 013 DetroitPolice_Reports_viewed Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016F | <i>LNCS 013 DetroitPolice_Reports_viewed PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016G | <i>LNCS 016 ADAMS - 1216657 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 8016H | <i>LNCS 016 ADAMS - 1216657 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016I | <i>LNCS 020 BRACE - 1144486 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016J | <i>LNCS 020 BRACE - 1144486 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016K | <i>LNCS 022 CARPENTER - 1011685 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 8016L | <i>LNCS 022 CARPENTER - 1011685 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016M | <i>LNCS 024 CRAWFORD - 1142278 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016N | <i>LNCS 024 CRAWFORD - 1142278 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016O | <i>LNCS 026 EMILII - 1090488 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |

| EX. NO. | DESCRIPTION | Basis of Admissibility and/or Rules Under Which Government Seeks Admission | Basis of Defendant Objection and/or Rules Under Which Objection is/are Made | Court's Ruling |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| 8016P | <i>LNCS 026 EMILII - 1090488 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016Q | <i>LNCS 027 GHAMLOUCH - 191859 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016R | <i>LNCS 027 GHAMLOUCH - 191859 Excel</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |
| 8016S | <i>LNCS 029 HENDLEY - 1168063 PDF</i> | Authentication - FRE 803(6)(A)-(C) /FRE 901(a), 901(b)(1), (b)(4), 902(11), and 902(13) [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] -Relevance – FRE 401, 402 [Testimony of LexisNexis Doc Custodian or others] | <i>Objection – govt failed to fully identify the witness (BS)</i> | Admissible if Government lays proper foundation and identifies proper witness. |

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Matthew F. Leitman

MATTHEW F. LEITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: April 18, 2023

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on April 18, 2023, by electronic means and/or ordinary mail.

s/Holly A. Ryan

Case Manager

(313) 234-5126